Scientific name:	Ilex crenata	USDA Plants Code: ILCR2
Common names:	Japanese holly	
Native distribution:	Eastern Asia	
Date assessed:	October 20, 2008	
Assessors:	Steve Glenn, Gerry Moore	
Reviewers:	LIISMA SRC	
Date Approved:	12-17-2008; edited 12-19-2008	Form version date: 22 October 2008

New York Invasiveness Rank: Low (Relative Maximum Score 40.00-49.99)

Dis	Distribution and Invasiveness Rank (Obtain from PRISM invasiveness ranking form)			
			PRISM	
	Status of this species in each PRISM:	Current Distribution	Invasiveness Rank	
1	Adirondack Park Invasive Program	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	
2	Capital/Mohawk	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	
3	Catskill Regional Invasive Species Partnership	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	
4	Finger Lakes	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	
5	Long Island Invasive Species Management Area	Widespread	Low	
6	Lower Hudson	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	
7	Saint Lawrence/Eastern Lake Ontario	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	
8	Western New York	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	

Inv	asiveness Ranking Summary	Total (Total Answered*)	Total
(see	e details under appropriate sub-section)	Possible	
1	Ecological impact	40 (<u>30</u>)	6
2	Biological characteristic and dispersal ability	25 (<u>25</u>)	15
3	Ecological amplitude and distribution	25 (<u>25</u>)	17
4	Difficulty of control	10 (<u>10</u>)	5
	Outcome score	100 (<u>90</u>) ^b	43 ^a
	Relative maximum score †		47.78
	New York Invasiveness Rank §	Low (Relative Maximum Score 40.00-49.99)	

^{*} For questions answered "unknown" do not include point value in "Total Answered Points Possible." If "Total Answered Points Possible" is less than 70.00 points, then the overall invasive rank should be listed as "Unknown." †Calculated as 100(a/b) to two decimal places.

§Very High >80.00; High 70.00–80.00; Moderate 50.00–69.99; Low 40.00–49.99; Insignificant <40.00

A. DISTRIBUTION (KNOWN/POTENTIAL): Summarized from individual PRISM forms

	s this species been documented to persist without on in NY? (reliable source; voucher not required)	Partnerships for Regional Invasive Species Management
\boxtimes	Yes – continue to A1.2	2008
	No – continue to A2.1	SLELO
A1.2. In	which PRISMs is it known (see inset map)?	
	Adirondack Park Invasive Program	Capital
	Capital/Mohawk	Finger Lakes Mohawk
	Catskill Regional Invasive Species Partnership	Western NY
	Finger Lakes	CRISP
\boxtimes	Long Island Invasive Species Management Area	Lower
	Lower Hudson	Hudson
	Saint Lawrence/Eastern Lake Ontario	Lisma Pinsma
	Western New York	No.

Documenta Sources of inf		otonia Gardan, 2008	
A2.1. What is	the likelihood that this PRISMs? (obtain from	s species will occur and persist outsiden PRISM invasiveness ranking form)	e of cultivation given the climate in
Not Assessed Not Assessed	Adirondack Park In	nvasive Program	
Not Assessed Not Assessed	Capital/Mohawk	myssiya Cmasiaa Dantu anahin	
Not Assessed Not Assessed		nvasive Species Partnership	
Very Likely	Finger Lakes	ve Species Management Area	
Not Assessed	Long Island invasi Lower Hudson	ve species Management Area	
Not Assessed	Saint Lawrence/Ea	starn I also Ontonio	
Not Assessed Not Assessed	Western New York		
		(
Documenta		4i 4.1- 1i44	
Weldy & Wei	rier, 2005; Brooklyn Be		
If the species do		s not likely to occur with any o	·
	as there	e is no need to assess the specie	es.
A2.2. What is ranking forms		n of the species in each PRISM? (obta	ain rank from PRISM invasiveness
			Distribution
Adirondack	Park Invasive Progra	m	Not Assessed
Capital/Moh	awk		Not Assessed
Catskill Reg	ional Invasive Specie	es Partnership	Not Assessed
Finger Lakes	5	_	Not Assessed
Long Island	Invasive Species Ma	nagement Area	Widespread
Lower Huds	on		Not Assessed
Saint Lawren	nce/Eastern Lake On	tario	Not Assessed
Western Nev	v York		Not Assessed
Documenta	tion:		
Sources of inf			
Weldy & Wei	rier, 2005; Brooklyn Be	otanic Garden, 2008.	
habit Aquatic Habit ☐ Salt/br	tats not under active hu	n suitable habitats within New York. man management. Managed habitats Wetland Habitats Salt/brackish marshes Freshwater marshes	
	/streams	Peatlands	Shrublands
	l lakes and ponds	Shrub swamps	Forests/woodlands
☐ Vernal		Forested wetlands/riparian	Alpine
Reserv	oirs/impoundments*	☐ Ditches*	☐ Roadsides*
		☐ Beaches and/or coastal dunes	
Other potential or known suitable habitats within New York:			
Documentation:			
Sources of information:			
		987; Haraguchi, 1991; Brooklyn Bota	nic Garden, 2008; J. lehrer, pers
ohe	, ,	,	, , pero.

NEW YORK

NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

B. INVASIVENESS RANKING

regime,	pact on Natural Ecosystem Processes and System-Wide Parameters (e.g. fire geomorphological changes (erosion, sedimentation rates), hydrologic regime, and mineral dynamics, light availability, salinity, pH)	
A.	No perceivable impact on ecosystem processes based on research studies, or the absence of impact information if a species is widespread (>10 occurrences in minimally managed areas), has been well-studied (>10 reports/publications), and has been present in the northeast for >100 years.	0
B.	Influences ecosystem processes to a minor degree (e.g., has a perceivable but mild influence on soil nutrient availability)	3
C.	Significant alteration of ecosystem processes (e.g., increases sedimentation rates along streams or coastlines, reduces open water that are important to waterfowl)	7
D.	Major, possibly irreversible, alteration or disruption of ecosystem processes (e.g., the species alters geomorphology and/or hydrology, affects fire frequency, alters soil pH, or fixes substantial levels of nitrogen in the soil making soil unlikely to support certain native plants or more likely to favor non-native species)	10
U.	Unknown Score	U
	Documentation:	U
	Identify ecosystem processes impacted (or if applicable, justify choosing answer A in the absence of impact information)	
	Impacts on natural ecosystem processes and system-wide parameters. Sources of information:	
1 2 Imr	pact on Natural Community Structure	
A.	No perceived impact; establishes in an existing layer without influencing its structure	0
В.	Influences structure in one layer (e.g., changes the density of one layer)	3
C.	Significant impact in at least one layer (e.g., creation of a new layer or elimination of an existing layer)	7
D. U.	Major alteration of structure (e.g., covers canopy, eradicating most or all layers below) Unknown	10
0.	Score	0
	Documentation:	
	Identify type of impact or alteration: No layer alterations, only individual, scattered plants seen in New York metropolitan area. Sources of information: Authors' personal observations	
1.3. Imr	pact on Natural Community Composition	
A.	No perceived impact; causes no apparent change in native populations	0
В.	Influences community composition (e.g., reduces the number of individuals in one or more native species in the community)	3
C.	Significantly alters community composition (e.g., produces a significant reduction in the population size of one or more native species in the community)	7
D.	Causes major alteration in community composition (e.g., results in the extirpation of one or several native species, reducing biodiversity or change the community composition towards species exotic to the natural community)	10
U.	Unknown	
	Score	3

	Documentation: Identify type of impact or alteration: Scattered plants that are noted are in areas with chiefly native species that presumably ha their numbers reduced Sources of information: Authors' personal observations.	ve
	pact on other species or species groups (cumulative impact of this species or	n
	mals, fungi, microbes, and other organisms in the community it invades.	
	les include reduction in nesting/foraging sites; reduction in habitat tivity; injurious components such as spines, thorns, burrs, toxins; suppresses	
	liment microflora; interferes with native pollinators and/or pollination of a	5
	species; hybridizes with a native species; hosts a non-native disease which	
	s a native species)	
A.	Negligible perceived impact	0
B.	Minor impact	3
C.	Moderate impact	7
D.	Severe impact on other species or species groups	10
U.	Unknown	
		core 3
	Documentation: Identify type of impact or alteration:	
	Evergreen habit may help support excessive deer populations- a study in Connecticut fou	nd
	Ilex crenata a prefered winter food species for deer.	
	Sources of information:	
	Conover & Kania, 1988 Total Possi	ible 30
	Section One To	50
		0
2. B	IOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DISPERSAL ABILITY	
2.1. Mc	ode and rate of reproduction (provisional thresholds, more investigation needed)	
A.	No reproduction by seeds or vegetative propagules (i.e. plant sterile with no sexual or	0
D	asexual reproduction). Limited reproduction (fewer than 10 viable seeds per plant AND no vegetative	1
В.	reproduction; if viability is not known, then maximum seed production is less than 100	1
	seeds per plant and no vegetative reproduction)	
C.	Moderate reproduction (fewer than 100 viable seeds per plant - if viability is not known,	2
	then maximum seed production is less than 1000 seeds per plant - OR limited successful vegetative spread documented)	
D.	Abundant reproduction with vegetative asexual spread documented as one of the plants	4
	prime reproductive means OR more than 100 viable seeds per plant (if viability is not	
U.	known, then maximum seed production reported to be greater than 1000 seeds per plant.) Unknown	
Ο.		core 2
	Documentation:	
	Describe key reproductive characteristics (including seeds per plant):	
	A few fruits observed on naturalized specimens in the NY metropolitan area. Plant is	
	dioecious, so some plants (males) will not produce seeds. Much variability in seed	
	production among cultivars with ome cultivars setting much more seed than others.	

NEW YORK

NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

Authors' personal observations. Galle, 1997; Dirr and Heuser 2006. 2.2. Innate potential for long-distance dispersal (e.g. bird dispersal, sticks to animal hair, buoyant fruits, pappus for wind-dispersal) A. Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms) B. Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations) C. Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) D. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or characte				
buoyant fruits, pappus for wind-dispersal) A, Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms) B, Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal (adaptations) C, Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) D, Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds dispersal greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Posse		•		
A. Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms) B. Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations) C. Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) D. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersal to new areas occurs almost exelusively and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage O. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage O. Possesses on characteristic that increase competitive advantage O. Posses			hair,	
B. Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations) C. Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) D. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds dispersal adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, ctc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses wo or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit, shade tolerant. Sources of i	•			
adaptations) C. Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) D. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) D. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit, shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997.	В.			1
D. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit, shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997 Score 6	C.			2
dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant) U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	ъ			4
Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0 B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage 3 C. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage 4 U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	D.	dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the paren		4
Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage O. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage O. Possesses on characteristic that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	U.	Unknown		
Identify dispersal mechanisms: Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Charracteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997			Score	2
Probably dispersed by avian frugivores. Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Charracteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997		Documentation:		
Sources of information: Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage O. B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage O. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit, shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
Brizicky, 1964. 2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit, shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur				
mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur 0 B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0 B. Possesses on characteristic that increase competitive advantage 3 C. Possesses wo or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage 6 U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	2.3 Pot		sible	
highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses one characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive adva			31010	
management equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.) A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit, shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
A. Does not occur B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses pocharacteristic that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses pocharacteristic that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses pocharacterist				
B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	_	1 1		0
infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				_
extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0 B. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage 3 C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage 6 U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	ъ.			
D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0 B. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage 3 C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage 6 U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	C.		rate	2
numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown Score 3 Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0 B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage 3 C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage 6 U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	Ъ			2
U. Unknown Score Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses on characteristic that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	D.			3
Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	IJ			
Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	0.		Score	3
Identify dispersal mechanisms: Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997			Beare	3
Among the leading broad-leaved evergreen plants grown by U.S. nurseries with 500+ cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
cultivars selected. Sources of information: Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
Galle, 1997. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	2.4.61	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage Unknown Score Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	-			
B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	-			0
C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
U. Unknown Score 6 Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				6
Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997	U.		~	
Evidence of competitive ability: Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997			Score	6
Evergreen, perennial habit; shade tolerant. Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
Sources of information: Galle, 1997				
Galle, 1997				
	2.5. Gro			

A.		0
В.	Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms	2
U.		
٠.	Score	0
	Documentation:	
	Describe growth form: No thickets or smothering growth habits changed in New York metropoliton area only	
	No thickets or smothering growth habits observed in New York metropolitan area, only individual, scattered plants seen.	
	Sources of information:	
26.0	Authors' personal observations	
2.0. G A.	ermination/Regeneration Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from	0
A.	vegetative propagules.	U
B.	Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions	2
C.		3
U.		
	Score	2
	Documentation:	
	Describe germination requirements: As is the case for most Ilex, seeds require stratification due to a hard, impermeable seed	
	coat, but I. crenata may germinate in as little as six months.	
	Sources of information:	
27.0	Galle, 1997; J. Lehrer, pers. comm.; Dirr and Heuser, 2006. ther species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere	
A.	1	0
В.		3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	0
	Documentation:	
	Species:	
	Weldy & Werier, 2005; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008; USDA, 2008. Total Possible	25
	Section Two Total	15
	Section 1 We 10th	13
3.1	ECOLOGICAL AMPLITUDE AND DISTRIBUTION	
	ensity of stands in natural areas in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada	
	ame definition as Gleason & Cronquist which is: "The part of the United States	
covere	ed extends from the Atlantic Ocean west to the western boundaries of	
	esota, Iowa, northern Missouri, and southern Illinois, south to the southern	
	aries of Virginia, Kentucky, and Illinois, and south to the Missouri River in	
	uri. In Canada the area covered includes Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island,	
	Brunswick, and parts of Quebec and Ontario lying south of the 47th parallel of	
latitud A.		0
B.		2
D.	disturbed landscapes	~
C	Large dense stands present in areas with few other invasive species present (i.e. ability to	4

New York

NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

invade relatively pristine natural areas) Unknown U. Score 0 Documentation: Identify reason for selection, or evidence of weedy history: No large stands reported in literature; only individual, scattered plants seen in NY metropolitan area. Sources of information: author's (Glenn) personal observations 3.2. Number of habitats the species may invade Not known to invade any natural habitats given at A2.3 0 Known to occur in two or more of the habitats given at A2.3, with at least one a natural B. habitat. Known to occur in three or more of the habitats given at A2.3, with at least two a natural 2 Known to occur in four or more of the habitats given at A2.3, with at least three a natural D. 4 Known to occur in more than four of the habitats given at A2.3, with at least four a natural 6 E. habitat. Unknown U Score 6 Documentation: Identify type of habitats where it occurs and degree/type of impacts: See A2.3. Sources of information: Meyer & Walker [eds.]. 1984; Shimoda, 1987; Haraguchi, 1991; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 3.3. Role of disturbance in establishment Requires anthropogenic disturbances to establish. 0 May occasionally establish in undisturbed areas but can readily establish in areas with В 2 natural or anthropogenic disturbances. Can establish independent of any known natural or anthropogenic disturbances. C. IJ Unknown Score 4 Documentation: Identify type of disturbance: Often found in deep, undisturbed wooded areas in the New York metropolitan area. Sources of information: Authors' personal observations. 3.4. Climate in native range Native range does not include climates similar to New York 0 Α. Native range possibly includes climates similar to at least part of New York. B. 1 Native range includes climates similar to those in New York 3 C. Unknown U. Score Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to New York: Northern Japan, Korea, Sakhalin Island; climate similarity limited to southeastern New York. Sources of information: Meyer & Walker [eds.], 1984; Galle, 1997.

NEW YORK

NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

	rrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see	
-	n 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)	
Α.	Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada	0
B.	Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.	1
C.	Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.	2
D.	Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.	3
E.	Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.	4
U.	Unknown	2
	Score Degumentation:	3
	Documentation: Identify states and provinces invaded: CT, DC, DE, NJ, NY, OH, PA; and possibly KY (Gunn, 1959) Sources of information: See known introduced range in plants.usda.gov, and update with information from states and Canadian provinces. Gunn, C. R. 1959; Brooklyn Botanic Garden. 2008; U.S.D.A., 2008.	
2 6 Cu	rrent introduced distribution of the species in natural areas in the eight New	
	rrent introduced distribution of the species in natural areas in the eight New tate PRISMs (Partnerships for Regional Invasive Species Management)	
A.	Present in none of the PRISMs	0
В.	Present in 1 PRISM	1
C.	Present in 2 PRISMs	2
D.	Present in 3 PRISMs	3
E.	Present in more than 3 PRISMs or on the Federal noxious weed lists	4
U.	Unknown Score	2
	Documentation:	
	Describe distribution:	
	See A1.1 Sources of information:	
	Weldy & Werier, 2005; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.	
	Total Possible	25
	Section Three Total	16
	FFICULTY OF CONTROL	
	ed banks	
A.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for less than 1 year, or does not make viable seeds or persistent propagules.	0
В.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for at least 1 to 10 years	2
C.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for more than 10 years	3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	2
	Documentation:	

		Identify longevity of seed bank: Seeds viable at least one year, but probably not 10 years. Sources of information: Dirr and Heuser (2006)	
4 2	Ves	getative regeneration	
	Α.	No regrowth following removal of aboveground growth	0
	В.	Regrowth from ground-level meristems	1
		Regrowth from extensive underground system	
	C.		2
	D.	Any plant part is a viable propagule	3
	U.	Unknown Score	1
			1
		Documentation:	
		Describe vegetative response: Woody perennial; resprouts from ground level meristem. Regrowth from extensive	
		underground root system not known.	
		Sources of information:	
		Galle, 1997.	
4 3	Lev	vel of effort required	
	A.	Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic	0
	11.	disturbance.	V
	B.	Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual	2
		effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year	
		(infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft ²).	
	C.	Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of	3
		manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws,	
		mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but	
	_	possible (infestation as above).	_
	D.	Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual	4
		effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of	
		herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above).	
	U.	Unknown	
	0.	Score	2
		Documentation:	
		Identify types of control methods and time-term required:	
		Management protocols not known. J. Lehrer and C. Scheer noted that many individuals do	
		not resprout after mowing.	
		Sources of information:	
		J. Lehrer, C. Scheer, pers. obs.	
		Total Possible	10
		Section Four Total	5
		Total for 4 sections Possible	90
		Total for 4 sections	43
		Total for Tections	1 TJ 1

C. STATUS OF CULTIVARS AND HYBRIDS:

At the present time (May 2008) there is no protocol or criteria for assessing the invasiveness of cultivars independent of the species to which they belong. Such a protocol is needed, and individuals with the appropriate expertise should address this issue in the future. Such a protocol will likely require data on cultivar fertility and identification in both experimental and natural settings.

Hybrids (crosses between different parent species) should be assessed individually and separately from the parent species wherever taxonomically possible, since their invasiveness may differ from that of the parent species. An exception should be made if the taxonomy of the species and hybrids are uncertain, and species and hybrids can not be clearly distinguished in the field. In such cases it is not feasible to distinguish species and hybrids, and they can only be assessed as a single unit.

Some cultivars of the species known to be available: 500+ cultivars including:

Bee Hive

Bennit's compact

Black Beauty

Bordeaux TM

Buxifolia

Canton

Centinel

Changsha

Chengtu

Cherokee

Chesapeake

Compacta

Convexa

Dwarf cone

Dwarf Pagoda

Fosteri

Glass

Glory

Glossy

Golden Gem

Golden Helleri

Green cushion

Green Dragon

Green Island

Green Luster

Green Thumb

Helleri

Hetzii

Hetzii Sport

High Light

Hoogendorn

Howard

Imperial

Kingsville

Kingsville Green Cushion

latifolia

Kunming

Lemom gem

Maxwell

Mariesii

Microphylla

Microphylla

Midas Touch

Morris Dwarf Nanking

Nacada

Nana

Nigra

Nummularia

Piccolo

Peking

Pin Cushion

Red Lion

Rependens

Rotundifolia

Schlillings

Shanghai

Sky Pencil

Soft Touch

Steeds

Stokes Dwarf

Tee-Dee

T-One

Twiggy

Variegata

Wayne

Willow Leaf

Yellow Fruit

Yunnan

References for species assessment:

Brizicky, G. K. 1964. The genera of Celastrales in the southeastern United States. J. Arnold Arboretum. 45:206-234.

Brooklyn Botanic Garden. 2008. AILANTHUS database. [Accessed on 20 October 2008].

Conover, M. R. & G. S. Kania. 1988. Browsing preference of white-tailed deer for different ornamental species. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 16(2):175-179.

Galle, F. C.1997. Hollies. The genus Ilex. Timber Press, Portland, OR. 621 pp.

Gunn, C. R. 1959. A Flora of Bernheim Forest, Bullitt County, Kentucky. Castanea, 24(3):61-98

Dirr, M. and C.W. Heuser. 2006. The Reference Manual of Woody Plant Propagation: From Seed to Tissue Culture: A Practical Working Guide to the Propagation of over 1100 Species.

Haraguchi, A. 1991. Effects of water-table oscillation on redox property of peat in a floating mat. The Journal of Ecology, 79(4):1113-1121.

Meyer, G. G. & E. H. Walker [eds.]. 1984. Flora of Japan (in English): a combined, much revised, and extended translation by the author of his [Nihon shokubutsushi] Flora of Japan (1953) and [Nihon shokubutsushi Shida hen] Flora of Japan--Pteridophyta (1957) / by Jisaburo Ohwi. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 1067 pp.

Shimoda, M. 1987. The vegetation of ponds and marshes of Mount Gokurakuji, Hiroshima Prefedture, western Japan. Hikobia. 10(1):31-38.

United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. 2008. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. <plants.usda.gov>. [Accessed on 20 October 2008].

Weldy, T. and D. Werier. 2005. New York Flora Atlas. [S.M. Landry, K.N. Campbell, and L.D. Mabe (original application development), Florida Center for Community Design and Research. University of South Florida]. New York Flora Association, Albany, New York. <atlas.nyflora.org/>. [Accessed on 20 October 2008].

Citation: This NY ranking form may be cited as: Jordan, M.J., G. Moore and T.W. Weldy. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of New York. Unpublished. The Nature Conservancy, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY; The Nature Conservancy, Albany, NY. Note that the order of authorship is alphabetical; all three authors contributed substantially to the development of this protocol.

Acknowledgments: The NY form incorporates components and approaches used in several other systems, cited in the references below. Valuable contributions by members of the Long Island Invasive Species Management Area's Scientific Review Committee were incorporated in revisions of this form. Original members of the LIISMA SRC included representatives of the Brooklyn Botanic Garden; The Nature Conservancy; New York Natural Heritage Program, New York Sea Grant; New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation; National Park Service; Brookhaven National Laboratory; New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Region 1; Cornell Cooperative Extension of Suffolk/Nassau Counties; Long Island Nursery and Landscape Association; Long Island Farm Bureau; SUNY Farmingdale Ornamental Horticulture Department; Queens College Biology Department; Long Island Botanical Society; Long Island Weed Information Management System database manager; Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation and Conservation; Nassau County Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums; Suffolk County Soil & Water Conservation District.

References for ranking form:

- Carlson, Matthew L., Irina V. Lapina, Michael Shephard, Jeffery S. Conn, Roseann Densmore, Page Spencer, Jeff Heys, Julie Riley, Jamie Nielsen. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of Alaska. Technical Paper R10-TPXX, USDA Forest Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK XX9. Alaska Weed Ranking Project may be viewed at: http://akweeds.uaa.alaska.edu/akweeds ranking page.htm.
- Heffernan, K.E., P.P. Coulling, J.F. Townsend, and C.J. Hutto. 2001. Ranking Invasive Exotic Plant Species in Virginia. Natural Heritage Technical Report 01-13. Virginia Dept. of Conservation and Recreation, Division of Natural Heritage, Richmond, Virginia. 27 pp. plus appendices (total 149 p.).
- Morse, L.E., J.M. Randall, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, and S. Lu. 2004. An Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: Evaluating Non-Native Plants for Their Impact on Biodiversity. Version 1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. http://www.natureserve.org/getData/plantData.jsp
- Randall, J.M., L.E. Morse, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, S. Lu, and T. Killeffer. 2008. The Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: A Tool for Creating Regional and National Lists of Invasive Nonnative Plants that Negatively Impact Biodiversity. Invasive Plant Science and Management 1:36–49
- Warner, Peter J., Carla C. Bossard, Matthew L. Brooks, Joseph M. DiTomaso, John A. Hall, Ann M.Howald, Douglas W. Johnson, John M. Randall, Cynthia L. Roye, Maria M. Ryan, and Alison E. Stanton. 2003. Criteria for Categorizing Invasive Non-Native Plants that Threaten Wildlands. Available online at

www.caleppc.org and www.swvma.org. California Exotic Pest Plant Council and Southwest Vegetation Management Association. 24 pp.

Williams, P. A., and M. Newfield. 2002. A weed risk assessment system for new conservation weeds in New Zealand. Science for Conservation 209. New Zealand Department of Conservation. 1-23 pp.