Scientific name: Veronica beccabunga L. USDA Plants Code: VEBE Common names: European speedwell Eurasia, Northern Africa Native distribution: January 27, 2010 Date assessed: Steve Glenn, Gerry Moore Assessors: Reviewers: LIISMA SRC 3 Feb. 2010 Form version date: 10 July 2009 Date Approved:

**New York Invasiveness Rank:** Moderate (Relative Maximum Score 50.00-69.99)

Dis	<b>Distribution and Invasiveness Rank</b> (Obtain from PRISM invasiveness ranking form)				
			PRISM		
	Status of this species in each PRISM:	Current Distribution	Invasiveness Rank		
1	Adirondack Park Invasive Program	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		
2	Capital/Mohawk	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		
3	Catskill Regional Invasive Species Partnership	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		
4	Finger Lakes	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		
5	Long Island Invasive Species Management Area	Not Present	Low		
6	Lower Hudson	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		
7	Saint Lawrence/Eastern Lake Ontario	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		
8	Western New York	Not Assessed	Not Assessed		

	asiveness Ranking Summary	Total (Total Answered*)	Total
(see details under appropriate sub-section)		Possible	
1	Ecological impact	40 ( <u>20</u> )	10
2	Biological characteristic and dispersal ability	25 ( <u>25</u> )	15
3	Ecological amplitude and distribution	25 ( <u>25</u> )	18
4	Difficulty of control	10 ( <u>6</u> )	4
	Outcome score	100 ( <u>76</u> ) <sup>b</sup>	47 <sup>a</sup>
	Relative maximum score †		61.84
	New York Invasiveness Rank <sup>§</sup> Moderate (Relative Maximum Score 50.00-69.99)		

<sup>\*</sup> For questions answered "unknown" do not include point value in "Total Answered Points Possible." If "Total Answered Points Possible" is less than 70.00 points, then the overall invasive rank should be listed as "Unknown." †Calculated as 100(a/b) to two decimal places.

§Very High >80.00; High 70.00–80.00; Moderate 50.00–69.99; Low 40.00–49.99; Insignificant <40.00 Not Assessable: not persistent in NY, or not found outside of cultivation.

A. DISTRIBUTION (KNOWN/POTENTIAL): Summarized from individual PRISM forms

	s this species been documented to persist without on in NY? (reliable source; voucher not required)	Partnerships for Regional Invasive Species Management
$\boxtimes$	Yes – continue to A1.2	2008
	No – continue to A2.1	SLELO
A1.2. In	which PRISMs is it known (see inset map)?	SILINO
	Adirondack Park Invasive Program	Capital
	Capital/Mohawk	Finger Lakes Mohawk
	Catskill Regional Invasive Species Partnership	Western NY CONTRACT
$\boxtimes$	Finger Lakes	CRISP
$\boxtimes$	Long Island Invasive Species Management Area	Lower
	Lower Hudson	Hudson
	Saint Lawrence/Eastern Lake Ontario	Liisma
	Western New York	Daniel Committee of the

Documentar Sources of inf			
	anic Garden, 2010; We	eldy & Werier 2010	
			tside of cultivation, given the climate
		rom PRISM invasiveness ranking	
Not Assessed	Adirondack Park I	_	,
Not Assessed	Capital/Mohawk		
Not Assessed		Invasive Species Partnership	
Not Assessed	Finger Lakes		
Moderately Likely	•	ve Species Management Area	
Not Assessed	Lower Hudson		
Not Assessed	Saint Lawrence/Ea	astern Lake Ontario	
Not Assessed	Western New Yorl	k	
Documenta	tion: Suitability of ha	abitats and climate.	
		ution models, literature, expert opi	inions):
		985; Grime et al., 1988.	,
If the species de	oes not occur and	is not likely to occur in any	of the PRISMs, then stop here
as	there is no need to	assess the species. Rank is	"Not Assessable."
		-	
		n of the species in each PRISM? (	obtain rank from PRISM invasiveness
ranking forms	)		
			Distribution
	Park Invasive Progra	ım	Not Assessed
Capital/Moh			Not Assessed
-	ional Invasive Speci	es Partnership	Not Assessed
Finger Lakes			Not Assessed
	Invasive Species Ma	ınagement Area	Not Present
Lower Hudse			Not Assessed
	nce/Eastern Lake On	tario	Not Assessed
Western Nev			Not Assessed
Documenta			
Sources of inf			
Brooklyn Bota	anic Garden, 2010.		
A22 Dagawih	a the metential on lines	ym gyitahla hahitata yyithin Nayy V	ouls Notional habitata include all
	-	vn suitable habitats within New Youman management. Managed habit	
Aquatic Habit		Wetland Habitats	Upland Habitats
	ackish waters	Salt/brackish marshes	Cultivated*
	vater tidal	Freshwater marshes	Grasslands/old fields
	streams	Peatlands	Shrublands
Natura	l lakes and ponds	☐ Shrub swamps	☐ Forests/woodlands
☐ Vernal		Forested wetlands/riparian	
Reserv	oirs/impoundments*	Ditches*	☐ Roadsides*
0.1	1 1	Beaches and/or coastal dur	
_		abitats within New York: ballast,	, springs
Documentar Sources of inf			
		972; Les & Stuckey, 1985; Grime 6	ot al. 1000; authors' para aba
DIUWII, 10/9;	Tutili & Heywood, 19	12, Les & Stuckey, 1903, Utille (	ai., 1700, audiois peis. 008.

### **B. INVASIVENESS RANKING**

Questions apply to areas similar in climate and habitats to New York unless specified otherwise.

### 1. ECOLOGICAL IMPACT

regime,	pact on Natural Ecosystem Processes and System-Wide Parameters (e.g. fire geomorphological changes (erosion, sedimentation rates), hydrologic regime,	
nutrient A.	and mineral dynamics, light availability, salinity, pH)  No perceivable impact on ecosystem processes based on research studies, or the absence of impact information if a species is widespread (>10 occurrences in minimally managed areas), has been well-studied (>10 reports/publications), and has been present in the northeast for >100 years.	0
B.	Influences ecosystem processes to a minor degree (e.g., has a perceivable but mild influence on soil nutrient availability)	3
C.	Significant alteration of ecosystem processes (e.g., increases sedimentation rates along streams or coastlines, reduces open water that are important to waterfowl)	7
D.	Major, possibly irreversible, alteration or disruption of ecosystem processes (e.g., the species alters geomorphology and/or hydrology, affects fire frequency, alters soil pH, or fixes substantial levels of nitrogen in the soil making soil unlikely to support certain native plants or more likely to favor non-native species)	10
U.	Unknown Score	U
	Documentation: Identify ecosystem processes impacted (or if applicable, justify choosing answer A in the absence of impact information) No studies regarding the impact to natural ecosystem processes located. Sources of information: Authors' pers. comm.	
1.2. Imp	pact on Natural Community Structure	
A.	No perceived impact; establishes in an existing layer without influencing its structure	0
B.	Influences structure in one layer (e.g., changes the density of one layer)	3
C.	Significant impact in at least one layer (e.g., creation of a new layer or elimination of an existing layer)	7
D. U.	Major alteration of structure (e.g., covers canopy, eradicating most or all layers below) Unknown	10
0.	Score	3
	Documentation: Identify type of impact or alteration: Capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); may increase the density of the herb layer, especially along some streamsides where the vegetation is otherwise sparser. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988; Mehrhoff et al., 2003; author's (Moore's) pers. obs.	
1.3. Imp	pact on Natural Community Composition	
A.	No perceived impact; causes no apparent change in native populations	0
В.	Influences community composition (e.g., reduces the number of individuals in one or more native species in the community)	3
C.	Significantly alters community composition (e.g., produces a significant reduction in the population size of one or more native species in the community)	7
D. U.	Causes major alteration in community composition (e.g., results in the extirpation of one or several native species, reducing biodiversity or change the community composition towards species exotic to the natural community) Unknown	10

	Sco	re	7
	Documentation:		
	Identify type of impact or alteration:		
	Can form large stands (clonal patches, Grime et al., 1988), that cover the stream and		
	significantly reduce native riparian and wetland species (Mehrhoff et al., 2003). Sources of information:		
	Grime et al., 1988; Mehrhoff et al., 2003; author's (Moore's) pers. obs.		
1.4. Im	pact on other species or species groups (cumulative impact of this species on		
-	mals, fungi, microbes, and other organisms in the community it invades.		
	les include reduction in nesting/foraging sites; reduction in habitat		
-	tivity; injurious components such as spines, thorns, burrs, toxins; suppresses		
	liment microflora; interferes with native pollinators and/or pollination of a		
	species; hybridizes with a native species; hosts a non-native disease which		
	s a native species)		
A.	Negligible perceived impact		0
В.	Minor impact		3
Б. С.	Moderate impact		7
D.	Severe impact on other species or species groups		10
U.	Unknown		10
0.	Sco	re	U
	Documentation:	10	U
	Identify type of impact or alteration:		
	No studies regarding the impact to other species located.		
	Sources of information:		
	Authors' pers. comm.		
	Total Possib		20
	Section One Total	tal	10
	IOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DISPERSAL ABILITY		
	ode and rate of reproduction		
A.	No reproduction by seeds or vegetative propagules (i.e. plant sterile with no sexual or		0
B.	asexual reproduction). Limited reproduction (fewer than 10 viable seeds per plant AND no vegetative		1
В.	reproduction; if viability is not known, then maximum seed production is less than 100		1
	seeds per plant and no vegetative reproduction)		
C.	Moderate reproduction (fewer than 100 viable seeds per plant - if viability is not known,		2
	then maximum seed production is less than 1000 seeds per plant - OR limited successful		
D	vegetative spread documented) Abundant reproduction with vegetative asexual spread documented as one of the plants		4
D.	prime reproductive means OR more than 100 viable seeds per plant (if viability is not		4
	known, then maximum seed production reported to be greater than 1000 seeds per plant.)		
U.	Unknown		
	Sco	re	4
	Documentation:		
	Describe key reproductive characteristics (including seeds per plant):		
	Veronica generally has "seeds usually numerous" (Tutin & Heywood, 1972); reported to		
	produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988), thus evidence for		
	production of over 100 viable seeds per plant.	2).	
	Vegetatively- stems can become decumbent, rooting at the nodes (Tutin & Heywood, 1972 detached shoot pieces readily root (Grime et al., 1988).	٤);	
	Sources of information:		

### **N**EW YORK

### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

	Tutin & Heywood, 1972; Grime et al., 1988; authors' pers. obs.	
	ate potential for long-distance dispersal (e.g. bird dispersal, sticks to animal hair,	
buoyant A.	fruits, pappus for wind-dispersal)  Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms)	0
A. B.	Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of	0 1
ъ.	adaptations)	1
C.	Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance	2
D.	dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant) Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance	4
<b>D</b> .	dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent	4
	plant)	
U.	Unknown	
	Score	4
	Documentation:	
	Identify dispersal mechanisms: Water dispersal (Hydrochory) through detached shoot pieces that readily root (Grime et al.,	
	1988). Seeds reportedly not buoyant, and "not likely that long distance dispersal actually	
	occurs by water transport" (Les & Stuckey, 1985); however another study found seeds in	
	drift samples during a flood and river banks after a flood (Cellot et al., 1998). Seeds mucilaginous and adhesive (Les, 1985; Grime et al., 1988) and thus transported by	
	animals (epizoochory). "The species is an effective colonist, even in land-locked sites"	
	(Grime et al., 1988).	
	Sources of information: Les & Stuckey, 1985; Grime et al., 1988; Cellot et al., 1998; authors' pers. obs.	
2.3. Pot	ential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible	
	isms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along	
	ys, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation	
	ment equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.)	
A.	Does not occur	0
B.	Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is	1
C.	infrequent or inefficient)  Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate	2
C.	extent)	2
D.	High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are	3
ĪĪ	numerous, frequent, and successful) Unknown	
U.	Score	2
	Documentation:	
	Identify dispersal mechanisms:	
	Ballast disposal (Brown, 1879; Les & Stuckey, 1985); also possibly via fish stocks and home	
	aquaria (Les & Stuckey, 1985). Used medicinally in Europe (Flannery, 1998). Also could be	
	readily adhere to clothing. Sources of information:	
	Brown, 1879; Les & Stuckey, 1985; Flannery, 1998; author's (Moore's) pers.obs.	
2.4. Cha	aracteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance,	
•	o grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation,	
-	thy, etc.	
A.	Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage	0
B.	Possesses two or more characteristics that increases competitive advantage	3
C.	Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage Unknown	6
U.	Score	2
	Score	3

## NEW YORK

### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

Documentation:  Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial. Tolerant of drying due to its ability to produce terrestrial forms along shores and other drying labitats; also characteristically uprooted during storm damage, and possess the ability to recover quickly from such events (Les & Stuckey, 1985).  Sources of information:  Tutin & Heywood, 1972; Les & Stuckey, 1985; Grime et al., 1988.  2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  O. B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  2. C. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  2. Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Species: Veronica agressits, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. Illiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northate (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden,			
2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 2. C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 3. U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination: Sources of information: Sources of inf		Evidence of competitive ability:  Perennial. Tolerant of drying due to its ability to produce terrestrial forms along shores and other drying habitats; also characteristically uprooted during storm damage, and possess the ability to recover quickly from such events (Les & Stuckey, 1985).  Sources of information:	
A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score 0  Documentation:  Describe growth form:  Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit.  Sources of information:  Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  J. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score 2  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970 Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  Documentation:  Score  O  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hoderifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.	2.5. Gro		
B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  O  Documentation:  Describe growth form:  Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit.  Sources of information:  Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germinatton/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. bederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.			0
U. Unknown  Documentation: Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  J. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes J. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.		Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers	
Documentation: Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.			
Documentation: Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions 2. C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 3. U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.	U.	Unknown	
Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  O. B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.		Score	0
Describe growth form: Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al., 1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  O. B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.		Documentation:	
Reported or produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination:  Sources of information:  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions 2  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 3  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score 2  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score 0  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.			
1988); but no documentation demonstrating a climbing or smothering habit. Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedly in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25			
Grime et al., 1988.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  2  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  0  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25			
2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions 2. C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 3. U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.	2.6 Co	·	
Reported to propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.		C	0
B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25	A.		0
C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation:  Describe germination requirements:  Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information:  Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Species:  Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25	ъ		2
U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25			
Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible	C.	Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions	3
Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible	U.	Unknown (No studies have been completed)	
Documentation: Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas. Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible		Score	2
Describe germination requirements: Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes J. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25			
Reported to produce large quantities of readily germinable seed (Grime et al., 1988); one study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
study found germination rates as high as 99% with light enhancing germination (Salisbury, 1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No 0 B. Yes 0 Unknown  Score 0  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
1970). Generally found germinating in the wild in disturbed, wet areas.  Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
Salisbury, 1970; Grime et al., 1988.  2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25			
2.7. Other species in the genus invasive in New York or elsewhere  A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25			
A. No  B. Yes  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25	0.7.0.1		
B. Yes U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible  25	2.7. Oth		_
U. Unknown  Score 0  Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25	A.	No	0
Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25	B.	Yes	3
Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25	U.	Unknown	
Documentation: Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible		Score	0
Species: Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible			U
Veronica agrestis, V. anagali-aquatica, V. arvensis, V. austriaca, V. chamaedrys, V. dillenii, V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible			
V. filiformis, V. grandis, V. hederifolia, V. longifolia, V. officinalis, V. persica, V. polita, V. serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible			
serpyllifolia, V. spicata, & V. verna reported. V. arvensis and V. filliformis categorized as weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.  Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			•
Uva et al., 1997; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010; U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010.  Total Possible 25			
2010. Total Possible 25		weedy in the Northeast (Uva et al., 1997) but none tracked as invasive.	
2010. Total Possible 25		W	
Total Possible 25			
Section Two Total 15			
		Section Two Total	15

### New York

### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

3	ECOLOGICAL AMPLITUDE AND DISTRIBUTION				
	Density of stands in natural areas in the northeastern USA and eastern Can	ada			
	same definition as Gleason & Cronquist which is: "The part of the United				
		States			
covered extends from the Atlantic Ocean west to the western boundaries of					
	esota, Iowa, northern Missouri, and southern Illinois, south to the souther				
	daries of Virginia, Kentucky, and Illinois, and south to the Missouri River				
	ouri. In Canada the area covered includes Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Isla				
New	Brunswick, and parts of Quebec and Ontario lying south of the 47th paral	lel of			
latitu					
A	No large stands (no areas greater than 1/4 acre or 1000 square meters)		0		
I	<ol> <li>Large dense stands present in areas with numerous invasive species already present disturbed landscapes</li> </ol>		2		
(	Large dense stands present in areas with few other invasive species present (i.e. abilitivade relatively pristine natural areas)	ity to	4		
J	J. Unknown				
		Score	0		
	Documentation:				
	Identify reason for selection, or evidence of weedy history:				
	Reportedly capable of forming clonal patches by prostrate rooted stems (Grime et al	.,			
	1988); however, no documention of stands larger than 1/4 acre in the Northeast.				
	Sources of information:				
	Grime et al., 1988; authors' pers. comm., obs.				
3.2.	Number of habitats the species may invade				
A	Not known to invade any natural habitats given at A2.3		0		
I	Known to occur in one natural habitat given at A2.3		1		
(	C. Known to occur in two natural habitats given at A2.3		2		
I	Nown to occur in three natural habitat given at A2.3		4		
]	Known to occur in four or more natural habitats given at A2.3		6		
Ţ	J. Unknown				
		Score	6		
	Documentation:				
	Identify type of habitats where it occurs and degree/type of impacts:				
	See A2.3. Sources of information:				
	Brown, 1879; Tutin & Heywood, 1972; Les & Stuckey, 1985; Grime et al., 1988.				
33	Role of disturbance in establishment				
	Requires anthropogenic disturbances to establish.		0		
	May occasionally establish in undisturbed areas but can readily establish in areas wi	th	2		
	natural or anthropogenic disturbances. Can establish independent of any known natural or anthropogenic disturbances.	tii	4		
	J. Unknown		4		
(	. Officiowii	Caama	2		
		Score	2		
	Documentation:				
	Identify type of disturbance:	.1			
	There is some inconsistency in the literature with regard to the role of disturbance in				
	establishment of Veronica beccabunga. Some state that this species is associated wi moderately disturbed conditions, restricted to fertile sites where disturbances such as				
	winter-flooding, water currents, trampling or grazing restrict the growth of taller per				
	(Grime et al., 1988); and found as a pioneer, colonizing freshly exposed and margina				
	successfully withstanding subsequent successional competition (Salisbury, 1970).	,			

### New York

NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM Les & Stuckey, (1985) state that V. beccabunga has an affinity for undisturbed habitats, but further on state that it is characteristically uprooted during storm damage, and possess the ability to recover quickly from such events (Les & Stuckey, 1985). Sources of information: Salisbury, 1970; Les & Stuckey, 1985; Grime et al., 1988. 3.4. Climate in native range Native range does not include climates similar to New York 0 A. Native range possibly includes climates similar to at least part of New York. B. Native range includes climates similar to those in New York C. 3 Unknown IJ Score 3 Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to New York: Eurasia, as far north as Scandinavia and southern Siberia. Sources of information: Tutin & Heywood, 1972; Fischer, 1985; Grime et al., 1988. 3.5. Current introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see question 3.1 for definition of geographic scope ) Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada 0 Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province. B. 1 Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian C. 2 provinces. D. Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, 3 and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province. Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. 4 and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces. Unknown IJ 4 Score Documentation: Identify states and provinces invaded: CT, IL, MA, MD, ME, MI, NJ, NY, OH, PA, VA, WI, WV; Ontario, Quebec Sources of information: See known introduced range in plants.usda.gov, and update with information from states and Canadian provinces. U.S.D.A. NRCS, 2010. 3.6. Current introduced distribution of the species in natural areas in the eight New York State PRISMs (Partnerships for Regional Invasive Species Management) Present in none of the PRISMs A. 0 B. Present in 1 PRISM 1 C. Present in 2 PRISMs 2 D. Present in 3 PRISMs 3 Present in more than 3 PRISMs or on the Federal noxious weed lists 4 Unknown U.

Documentation:
Describe distribution:

See A1.1.

Sources of information:

Score

3

Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2010; Weldy & Werier, 2010.

	Total Possible	25
	Section Three Total	18
4. DI	FFICULTY OF CONTROL	
4.1. See	ed banks	
A.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for less than 1 year, or does not make viable seeds or persistent propagules.	0
В.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for at least 1 to 10 years	2
C.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for more than 10 years	3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	2
	Documentation:	
	Identify longevity of seed bank: Seed banking documented, with evidence of viability greater than one year, but evidence	
	lacking for viability greater than ten years.	
	Sources of information:	
	Champness & Morris, 1948; Grime et al., 1988.	
	getative regeneration	0
A.	No regrowth following removal of aboveground growth	0
B.	Regrowth from ground-level meristems	1
C.	Regrowth from extensive underground system	2
D.	Any plant part is a viable propagule	3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	2
	Documentation: Describe vegetative response:	
	Detached shoot pieces known to readily root; regrowth also from root system.	
	Sources of information:	
	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.	
4.3. Le	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988. vel of effort required	
A.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.	0
	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year	0 2
A. B.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).	2
A.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws,	-
A. B.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but	2
A. B. C.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).	3
A. B.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).  Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual	2
A. B. C.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).	3
<ul><li>A.</li><li>B.</li><li>C.</li><li>D.</li></ul>	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).  Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above).	3
A. B. C.	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).  Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above).  Unknown	2 3 4
<ul><li>A.</li><li>B.</li><li>C.</li><li>D.</li></ul>	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).  Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above).  Unknown  Score	3
<ul><li>A.</li><li>B.</li><li>C.</li><li>D.</li></ul>	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).  Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above).  Unknown  Score  Documentation:	2 3 4
<ul><li>A.</li><li>B.</li><li>C.</li><li>D.</li></ul>	Sources of information: Grime et al., 1988.  vel of effort required  Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).  Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above).  Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above).  Unknown  Score	2 3 4

Sources of information:		
Les & Stuckey, 1985; Grime et al., 1988; Onaindia et al., 1996; Mehrhoff et al., 2003.		
Total Possible	6	
Section Four Total	4	
Total for 4 sections Possible	76	

Total for 4 sections

47

#### C. STATUS OF CULTIVARS AND HYBRIDS:

At the present time (May 2008) there is no protocol or criteria for assessing the invasiveness of cultivars independent of the species to which they belong. Such a protocol is needed, and individuals with the appropriate expertise should address this issue in the future. Such a protocol will likely require data on cultivar fertility and identification in both experimental and natural settings.

Hybrids (crosses between different parent species) should be assessed individually and separately from the parent species wherever taxonomically possible, since their invasiveness may differ from that of the parent species. An exception should be made if the taxonomy of the species and hybrids are uncertain, and species and hybrids can not be clearly distinguished in the field. In such cases it is not feasible to distinguish species and hybrids, and they can only be assessed as a single unit.

Some cultivars of the species known to be available:

#### **References for species assessment:**

Brooklyn Botanic Garden. 2010. AILANTHUS database. [Accessed January 27, 2010].

Brown, A. 1879. Ballast plants in New York City and its vicinity. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club. 6:353-360.

Cellot, B., F. Mouillot & C. P. Henry. 1998. Flood drift and propagule bank of aquatic macrophytes in a riverine wetland. J. Vegetation Sci. 9(5):631-640.

Champness, S. C. & K. Morris. 1948. The population of buried viable seeds in relation to contrasting pasture and soil types. J. Ecology. 36(1):149-173.

Fischer, M. A. 1985. Veronica beccabunga consists of 3 vicarious subspecies. Flora (Jena). 176(1-2):117-128.

Flannery, M. A. 1998. The medicine and medicinal plants of C. S. Rafinesque. Economic Botany. 52(1):27-43.

Grime, J. P., J. G. Hodgson & R. Hunt. 1988. Comparative plant ecology. a functional approach to common British species. Unwin Hyman, London, UK. 742 pp.

Les, D.H. & R.L. Stuckey. 1985. The introduction and spread of Veronica beccabunga (Scrophulariaceae) in eastern North America. Rhodora 87: 503-515.

Mehrhoff, L. J., J. A. Silander, Jr., S. A. Leicht, E. S. Mosher and N. M. Tabak. 2003.

IPANE: Invasive Plant Atlas of New England. Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA. <a href="https://www.ipane.org">www.ipane.org</a> [Accessed January 27, 2010].

Onaindia, M., B. De Bikuna & I. Benito. 1996. Aquatic plants in relation to environmental factors in Northern Spain. J. Environmental Management. 47(2):123-137.

Salisbury, E. 1970. The pioneer vegetation of exposed muds and its biological features. Philosophical Trans. Royal Soc. London. Series B, Biological Sciences. 259(829):207-255.

Tutin, T. G. & V. H. Heywood (eds.). 1972. Flora Europaea. Vol. 3. Cambridge, UK. 374 pp.

United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. 2010. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. < http://plants.usda.gov/> [Accessed January 27, 2010].

Uva, R. H., J. C. Neal, & J. M. DiTomaso. 1997. Weeds of the Northeast. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. 397 pp.

Weldy, T. and D. Werier. 2010. New York Flora Atlas. [S.M. Landry, K.N. Campbell, and L.D. Mabe (original application development), Florida Center for Community Design and Research. University of South Florida]. New York Flora Association, Albany, New York. <www.newyork.plantatlas.usf.edu>[Accessed January 27, 2010].

**Citation:** This NY ranking form may be cited as: Jordan, M.J., G. Moore and T.W. Weldy. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of New York. Unpublished. The Nature Conservancy, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY; The Nature Conservancy, Albany, NY. Note that the order of authorship is alphabetical; all three authors contributed substantially to the development of this protocol.

Acknowledgments: The NY form incorporates components and approaches used in several other systems, cited in the references below. Valuable contributions by members of the Long Island Invasive Species Management Area's Scientific Review Committee were incorporated in revisions of this form. Original members of the LIISMA SRC included representatives of the Brooklyn Botanic Garden; The Nature Conservancy; New York Natural Heritage Program, New York Sea Grant; New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation; National Park Service; Brookhaven National Laboratory; New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Region 1; Cornell Cooperative Extension of Suffolk/Nassau Counties; Long Island Nursery and Landscape Association; Long Island Farm Bureau; SUNY Farmingdale Ornamental Horticulture Department; Queens College Biology Department; Long Island Botanical Society; Long Island Weed Information Management System database manager; Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation and Conservation; Nassau County Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums; Suffolk County Soil & Water Conservation District.

#### **References for ranking form:**

- Carlson, Matthew L., Irina V. Lapina, Michael Shephard, Jeffery S. Conn, Roseann Densmore, Page Spencer, Jeff Heys, Julie Riley, Jamie Nielsen. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of Alaska. Technical Paper R10-TPXX, USDA Forest Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK XX9. Alaska Weed Ranking Project may be viewed at: <a href="http://akweeds.uaa.alaska.edu/akweeds\_ranking\_page.htm">http://akweeds.uaa.alaska.edu/akweeds\_ranking\_page.htm</a>.
- Heffernan, K.E., P.P. Coulling, J.F. Townsend, and C.J. Hutto. 2001. Ranking Invasive Exotic Plant Species in Virginia. Natural Heritage Technical Report 01-13. Virginia Dept. of Conservation and Recreation, Division of Natural Heritage, Richmond, Virginia. 27 pp. plus appendices (total 149 p.).
- Morse, L.E., J.M. Randall, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, and S. Lu. 2004. An Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: Evaluating Non-Native Plants for Their Impact on Biodiversity. Version 1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. http://www.natureserve.org/getData/plantData.jsp

- Randall, J.M., L.E. Morse, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, S. Lu, and T. Killeffer. 2008. The Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: A Tool for Creating Regional and National Lists of Invasive Nonnative Plants that Negatively Impact Biodiversity. Invasive Plant Science and Management 1:36–49
- Warner, Peter J., Carla C. Bossard, Matthew L. Brooks, Joseph M. DiTomaso, John A. Hall, Ann M.Howald, Douglas W. Johnson, John M. Randall, Cynthia L. Roye, Maria M. Ryan, and Alison E. Stanton. 2003. Criteria for Categorizing Invasive Non-Native Plants that Threaten Wildlands. Available online at www.caleppc.org and www.swvma.org. California Exotic Pest Plant Council and Southwest Vegetation Management Association. 24 pp.
- Williams, P. A., and M. Newfield. 2002. A weed risk assessment system for new conservation weeds in New Zealand. Science for Conservation 209. New Zealand Department of Conservation. 1-23 pp.